

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,701	03/29/2004	Takeo Ohsaka	Q80771	9046
65565 SUGHRUE-265	7590 09/25/2007 5550		EXAMINER	
2100 PENNSY	LVANIA AVE. NW		JOYNER, KEVIN	
WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2007	DADED

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,701	OHSAKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin C. Joyner	1744	

	Kevin C. Joyner	1/44	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the same of the sam	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire le Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or to the mailing date of this A TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered be	ecause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	- · · · · ·	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2 and 5</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1.2 and 5.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	Is to provide a I).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but the reconsidered b	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
See numeral 3 of this sheet Applicants or numeral 3. In Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paner No(e)	n uniteria.	
13. Other:	(1 10/36/00) Fapel No(3).	halls -	
		ADVENDED	2411
	GI SUBER	ADYS JP CORCOR	MAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The applicants amendment of claims 1 and 5 wherein, "a particulate solid acid catalyst comprising a plymer resin" would require further search and/or consideration.